

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	
	10/803,180	
	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	CARGILL ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	STEPHEN KAPUSHOC	1634

  

**All Participants:**

(1) STEPHEN KAPUSHOC

(2) Justin Karjala

**Date of Interview:** 9 February 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

36, 39-45, 79, 80

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amendments to the claims to put the application in condition for allowance were discussed and agreed to.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Stephen Kapushoc/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

**Status of Application:** Amended after non-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3:15 pm

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)